### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

Kishor G. Agnihotri Filed: April 20, 2006

Serial No. 10/576,490 Confirmation No. 2404

Examiner: Timothy. S. CHIANG Group Art Unit: 1761

For: A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DYEING FIBERS

## REQUEST FOR REISSUANCE OF OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Dear Commissioner:

In response to the Office Action mailed June 08, 2011, Applicant respectfully requests reissuance of the Office Action with clarification as to the claims subject to rejection.

In particular, claims 1, 6-8, 10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,917,118 (Kosann et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,056,275 (Williams et al.). The aforementioned claims had been cancelled in Applicant's response of March 22, 2011. While it is recognized that the discussion addresses claims pending in the case, the basic rejection is of cancelled claims.

Therefore, it should not be a significant burden to reissue the Office Action identifying the claims pending in the application.

Respectfully submitted,
THE NATH LAW GROUP

## July 8, 2011

THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314-2891 Tel: 703-548-6284

Fax: 703-683-8396

# /Stan Protigal/

Jerald L. Meyer Registration No. 41,194 Derek Richmond Registration No. 45,771 Stanley N. Protigal Registration No. 28,657 Customer No. 20529